

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/706,489	Applicant(s) DUVDEVANI ET AL.	
	Examiner Vikkram Bali	Art Unit 2623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Vikkram Bali. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Michael Faibisch, #48,427. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 21 February 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: None.


Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attach document "Supplementary Declaration" was further discuss for the Documentation provided under the 37 CFR 1.131. Once or if this "Supplementary Declaration" is approved the rejection under 35 USC 102 and 35USC 103 (the art of record) will be withdrawn.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**VIKRAM BALI**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

#### Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,  
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

**DRAFT SUPPLEMENTARY DECLARATION – NOT TO BE ENTERED**  
**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Duvdevani et al.

Docket No: U 014859-9 (46766/907)

Appln. No.: 10/706,489

Group Art Unit: 2623

Confirmation No.: 7213

Examiner: Vikram BALI

Filed: 11/12/2003

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR THE INSPECTION OF OBJECTS

Honorable Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**SUPPLEMENTARY DECLARATION**

Sir:

We, the undersigned, Sharon Duvdevani, Tally Gilat-Bernshtein, Eyal Klingbell, Meir Mayo, Shmuel Rippa, and Zeev Smilansky hereby declare as follows:

1) We are the co-inventors of the subject matter described and claimed in claims 1 – 16 of the patent application identified above.

2) On August 15, 2005 we submitted a declaration on 37 CFR §1.131. The declaration included attached documentary evidence. Some of the attached evidence was excerpted from system documentation (the "Documentation") that had been written by co-inventor, Mr. Shmuel Rippa.

3) The Documentation documents operation an entire system for software based defect detection that had been developed by a team including many members.

4) The Documentation was intended for internal use. The task of preparing the Documentation was assigned to Shmuel Rippa, and he wrote the entire document.

5) In accordance with the policy at the time, for the project in connection with which the Documentation was written, the only person whose name appears on internal system documentation is that person who actually wrote the document, notwithstanding that other

**This Transmission Contains Privileged Attorney Client Information**

If you are not the intended recipient or if you have any difficulties receiving this transmission, please call 972-8-842-3800

907 U014859 10706489 -Top Down Windows .doc

**DRAFT SUPPLEMENTARY DECLARATION – NOT TO BE ENTERED**

members of a development team contributed to development of the system. As noted above, the only person who wrote the above Documentation was co-inventor Shmuel Rippa.

We hereby declare that all statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and conjecture are thought to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application of any patent issued thereon.

Sharon Duvdevani, Citizen of Israel Date: \_\_\_\_\_, 2005

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_ St. \_\_\_\_\_, Israel

Tally Gilat-Berstein, Citizen of Israel Date: \_\_\_\_\_, 2005

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_ St. \_\_\_\_\_, Israel

Eyal Klingbell, Citizen of Israel Date: \_\_\_\_\_, 2005

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_ St. \_\_\_\_\_, Israel

Meir Mayo, Citizen of Israel Date: \_\_\_\_\_, 2005

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_ St. \_\_\_\_\_, Israel

Shmuel Rippa, Citizen of Israel Date: \_\_\_\_\_, 2005

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_ St. \_\_\_\_\_, Israel

DRAFT SUPPLEMENTARY DECLARATION - NOT TO BE ENTERED

Zeev Smilansky, Citizen of Israel

Date: \_\_\_\_\_, 2005

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_ St. \_\_\_\_\_, Israel